SOCRATES' GHOST AND NIGERIA'S DEMOCRATIC FOUNDATIONS: RECLAIMING NIGERIA FROM THE BIMODAL TRIANGLE

By

Amadin Enowoghomwenma

Department of General Education

School of Education

Edo State College of Education, Edo State

&

Dan-Eheremwen Enowoghomwenma, Ph.D.

Department of General Studies in Education

School of Education

Edo State College of Education, Edo State

Email: enowoghomwenma@gmail.com and Phone Number: 08033644184

Abstract

Nigeria's democratic system faces significant challenges, including corruption, ethnic and religious divisions, and electoral malpractices. This paper critically examines the complexities of Nigeria's democratic journey, exploring how the entrenched bimodal triangle of ethnicity, religion, and accountability has imperilled the country's political landscape. Through a Socratic lens, this research interrogates the tensions between these forces and Nigeria's democratic experiment, seeking to understand their impact on the country's democracy. By applying philosophical inquiry and critical thinking, this paper: probes the complex interplay between ethnicity, religion, and democracy in Nigeria; examines the challenges facing Nigeria's democracy, including corruption, electoral malpractices, and institutional weaknesses; investigates potential pathways towards a more inclusive, equitable, and quality education system that fosters critical thinking; and offers insights into the was education can help mitigate the bimodal triangle's grip on democracy. This paper concludes that addressing the bimodal triangle and its far-reaching consequences is crucial to reclaiming Nigeria's democracy and achieving freedom. By providing a nuanced understanding of the complex issues surrounding Nigeria's democracy, this paper contributes to the discourse on building a more inclusive, equitable, and just society through education that promotes critical thinking

Keywords: Socrates' Ghost, Nigeria's Democratic Foundations and Bimodal Triangle

Introduction

Nigeria, Africa's most populous country, embarked on a democratic experiment in 1999, marking a significant departure from decades of military rule. The transition to democracy was hailed as a beacon of hope for the country's development and stability. However, Nigeria's democratic journey has been fraught with challenges, including corruption, electoral malpractices, and institutional weaknesses. This essay examines the pervasive nature

of corruption in Nigeria's democratic system, its consequences for development, and the imperative of promoting accountability and transparency to ensure sustainable progress. Corruption is a pervasive and entrenched feature of Nigeria's democratic system. It affects all levels of government, from the executive to the judiciary, and has led to the embezzlement of public funds, contract inflation, and nepotism. The consequences of corruption are far-reaching, including stunted development, eroding trust, and insecurity. Corruption has hindered Nigeria's development, perpetuating poverty, inequality, and social injustice. It has also eroded trust in government and democratic institutions, leading to disillusionment and apathy among citizens.

Electoral malpractices have also plagued Nigeria's democratic system. The electoral process has been marred by irregularities, including vote-buying, voter suppression, and result manipulation. This has undermined the credibility of elections and eroded trust in democratic institutions. Electoral malpractices have also contributed to the polarization of Nigerian society, as different ethnic and religious groups compete or struggle for power Institutional weaknesses have also hindered Nigeria's democratic progress. Democratic institutions, such as the legislature and judiciary, have been weakened by corruption, political interference, and lack of independence. This has hindered their ability to check executive power and ensure accountability.

Furthermore, the media and civil society organizations have a crucial role to play in promoting accountability and transparency in Nigeria's democracy. A free and independent media can hold those in power accountable for their actions, while civil society organizations can mobilize citizens to demand their rights and participate in the democratic process. In addition, international partners and organizations can provide support and guidance to help Nigeria strengthen its democratic institutions and address the challenges it faces. This can include providing technical assistance, capacity-building programs, and support for democratic reforms.

To ensure sustainable progress, promoting accountability and transparency is imperative. This can be achieved through strengthening institutions, anti-corruption efforts, electoral reform, and citizen engagement. Nigeria's democratic institutions must be strengthened to ensure independence, accountability, and effectiveness. Concerted efforts are needed to tackle corruption, including the prosecution of corrupt officials, asset recovery, and whistle-blower protection. Nigeria's electoral process must be reformed to ensure credibility, transparency, and fairness. Citizens must be empowered to demand accountability and transparency, through education, activism, and participation in the democratic process.

Nigeria's democratic experiment has been marked by significant challenges and controversies. Despite progress in some areas, the country's democratic system remains fragile and vulnerable to manipulation. Corruption has become a pervasive and entrenched phenomenon in Nigeria's democratic system, perpetuating a culture of impunity that undermines the country's development. This essay examines the nature of corruption in Nigeria, the lack of accountability, and its consequences for the country's development. Corruption has been a persistent problem in Nigeria since its democratic inception. Successive governments have failed to address the issue effectively, allowing corruption to become an accepted norm (Achebe, 1983).

Nigeria's democratic system has been plagued by a culture of impunity, enabling corrupt officials to embezzle funds, engage in nepotism, and abuse their power without consequences. The absence of accountability has created a fertile ground for corruption, leading to the misallocation of resources, inadequate public services, and entrenched social inequality. Corruption and lack of accountability have become major obstacles to Nigeria's

economic development, as public officials prioritize personal gain over the well-being of citizens. The consequences of corruption and impunity in Nigeria are severe, with inadequate infrastructure, poor healthcare, and a struggling education system. Furthermore, corruption has exacerbated social inequality, as those with connections and wealth exploit their positions to accumulate more power and resources.

The lack of accountability in Nigeria's democratic system has also undermined trust in institutions, leading to widespread disillusionment among citizens, low voter turnout, apathy, and disengagement from the political process. To break the curse of corruption and impunity, Nigeria must strengthen its institutions, ensuring accountability and transparency in governance. This can be achieved through robust anti-corruption laws, effective enforcement agencies, and an independent judiciary. Additionally, civic education and engagement are crucial in promoting a culture of accountability and transparency. Nigeria's democratic experiment is haunted by the ghost of Socrates, revealing fundamental challenges that threaten its stability and effectiveness (Agbese, 1992). The country's democratic system is plagued by ethnic and religious divisions, corruption, and a lack of critical thinking, all of which Socrates' philosophy can help address (Popper, 1945; Achebe, 1983). Socrates' emphasis on critical thinking and self-examination can help Nigerian citizens scrutinize political issues and challenge assumptions, leading to more informed decision-making (Plato, 1968; Diamond, 1995). Furthermore, Socratic accountability can help reduce corruption and ensure that leaders serve the people's interests (Osaghae, 1998; Joseph, 1987). However, Nigeria's democratic experiment remains vulnerable to the contradictions and flaws exposed by Socratic critiques, underscoring the need for ongoing critical examination and reform (Agbaje, 2013; Elaigwu, 2005). The specter of uninformed decision-making haunts Nigeria's democratic landscape, threatening to undermine the very foundations of its political system. As Socrates astutely observed, "the unexamined life is not worth living" (Plato, 1968). Similarly, uninformed decision-making can have far-reaching consequences, perpetuating cycles of corruption, mismanagement, and social injustice. In Nigeria, where ethnic and religious divisions often supersede rational discourse, the absence of critical thinking and informed debate can lead to disastrous outcomes (Achebe, 1983).

Nigeria's electoral process is plagued by three major challenges: misinformation, disinformation, and voter apathy. These phenomena have far-reaching consequences, undermining the legitimacy and effectiveness of democratic institutions. Misinformation and disinformation are pervasive in Nigeria's electoral landscape. Spread through social media, traditional media, and word of mouth, false information can manipulate public opinion, discredit opponents, and influence voting decisions. Political elites often exploit misinformation, disinformation, and voter apathy to sway voters, leading to confusion, mistrust, and disillusionment. Voter apathy is another significant challenge. Characterized by low voter turnout, lack of engagement, and disinterest in the electoral process, it can result from disillusionment with politics, lack of trust in institutions, and perceived lack of impact. Misinformation and disinformation can exacerbate voter apathy, leading to further disengagement. The consequences of these challenges are dire. They erode democratic institutions and norms, undermine trust in the electoral process, and manipulate public opinion and voting decisions. Marginalized groups are often disenfranchised and corrupt and ineffective leadership is perpetuated.

Nigeria's development is haunted by the phantom of short-term thinking, a pervasive mindset that prioritizes immediate gains over long-term benefits (Achebe, 1983). This myopic approach has hindered the country's progress, perpetuating cycles of stagnation and underdevelopment (Agbese, 1992).Short-term thinking is evident in Nigeria's political landscape, where leaders often focus on short-term political gains rather than long-term

national interests (Diamond, 1995). This leads to policies that benefit a select few rather than the broader population (Osaghae, 1998).

In the economic realm, short-term thinking has resulted in a focus on quick profits rather than sustainable development (Ikelegbe, 2006). The exploitation of natural resources, for example, has led to environmental degradation and neglect of long-term economic potential (Okeke, 2017). Furthermore, short-term thinking has hindered Nigeria's ability to invest in human capital, infrastructure, and institutional development (Nwosu, 2008). The country's education and healthcare systems, for instance, have suffered from chronic underinvestment, compromising the well-being of future generations (Elaigwu, 2005).To exorcise the phantom of short-term thinking, Nigeria must adopt a long-term perspective, prioritizing sustainable development, and investing in human capital, infrastructure, and institutional capacity (Joseph, 1987).

Nigeria's political leaders have consistently prioritized short-term gains over long-term development, perpetuating a culture of myopic decision-making that has hindered the country's progress (Achebe, 1983). This phenomenon is evident in various aspects of governance, from electoral cycles to policy implementation. One of the primary reasons for this short-term thinking is the focus on electoral cycles. Political leaders prioritize winning elections over implementing long-term policies for sustainable development (Diamond, 1995). This leads to a lack of investment in critical areas such as education, healthcare, and infrastructure, as leaders focus on quick fixes and populist policies to win votes (Joseph, 1987). Furthermore, patronage and clientelism are pervasive in Nigeria's political landscape. Leaders engage in patronage and clientelism, distributing resources and favours to loyal supporters, rather than investing in long-term development projects (Ikelegbe, 2006). This perpetuates a culture of dependency and undermines the development of a robust and independent civil society (Elaigwu, 2005).

Corruption and rent-seeking are also significant challenges in Nigeria. Leaders engage in corrupt practices, embezzling funds meant for development projects, and seeking personal gains from government contracts and policies (Okeke, 2017). This not only undermines trust in government but also diverts resources away from critical development projects (Agbese, 1992). Additionally, Nigeria's political leaders have neglected investing in human capital development. Education and healthcare, critical components of human development, have suffered from chronic underinvestment, compromising the well-being of future generations (Nwosu, 2008). The lack of investment in infrastructure development is another significant challenge. Leaders have failed to invest in critical infrastructure, such as roads, power, and water, hindering long-term economic growth and development (Osaghae, 1998).

Nigeria's political leaders have consistently prioritized short-term gains over long-term development, with devastating consequences for the country (Achebe, 1983). This approach has led to widespread corruption, economic stagnation, and environmental degradation, among other challenges. Corruption is one of the most significant consequences of this approach. The focus on short-term gains creates an environment conducive to corruption, as leaders prioritize personal enrichment over public interest (Okeke, 2017). This has led to embezzlement, nepotism, and cronyism, undermining trust in government and institutions (Agbese, 1992).

Economic stagnation is another consequence of prioritizing short-term gains. The lack of investment in critical infrastructure, human capital, and institutional capacity has hindered economic growth and development (Ikelegbe, 2006). Nigeria's economy remains dependent on oil exports, vulnerable to global price fluctuations (Elaigwu, 2005). Inequality and poverty have also been exacerbated by this approach. The focus on short-term gains has led to income inequality, as those connected to power benefit at the expense of the marginalized (Joseph,

1987). Poverty persists, with over 80 million Nigerians living below the poverty line (Nwosu, 2008).

Environmental degradation is another consequence of prioritizing short-term gains. The focus on exploiting natural resources for short-term benefits has led to environmental degradation, undermining sustainable development (Okeke, 2017). Political instability is also a consequence of this approach. The culture of short-term thinking creates political instability, as leaders prioritize personal interests over national cohesion (Agbese, 1992). This has led to frequent changes in government, undermining policy continuity and coherence. Furthermore, the prevalence of corruption and short-term thinking has eroded trust in government, institutions, and leaders (Joseph, 1987). This undermines social cohesion and hinders collective action towards national development. Additionally, the lack of investment in human capital and infrastructure has driven skilled Nigerians abroad, exacerbating brain drain and depriving the country of essential expertise (Nwosu, 2008). Finally, Nigeria's reliance on short-term fixes and lack of long-term planning has made it dependent on foreign aid, undermining national sovereignty and autonomy (Osaghae, 1998).

The Haunting of Demagoguery: Exploiting Ethnic and Religious Tensions in Nigeria

Nigeria, a country with over 250 ethnic groups and a delicate balance of religious affiliations, has long been vulnerable to the exploits of charismatic leaders who prey on ethnic and religious tensions (Achebe, 1983). These demagogues, often driven by personal ambition and a lust for power, manipulate the fears and prejudices of their followers, sowing discord and undermining national unity (Joseph, 1987).

Demagoguery in Nigeria has a long history, dating back to the country's colonial era (Osaghae, 1998). However, the phenomenon has intensified in recent years, with the rise of politicians who exploit ethnic and religious sentiments to mobilize support (Ikelegbe, 2006). These leaders often employ divisive rhetoric, making sweeping generalizations about entire groups and perpetuating harmful stereotypes (Elaigwu, 2005). The dangers of demagoguery in Nigeria are multifaceted. Firstly, it threatens national unity, creating an "us versus them" mentality that pits different groups against each other (Agbese, 1992). This can lead to violence, as seen in the numerous ethnic and religious clashes that have plagued the country (Nwosu, 2008). Secondly, demagoguery undermines trust in institutions, as leaders who exploit tensions often disregard the rule of law and democratic principles (Okeke, 2017). Finally, it hinders economic development, as investors are deterred by the instability and uncertainty created by demagogic leaders (Ikelegbe, 2006).

To mitigate the impact of demagoguery, Nigeria must promote inclusive leadership that prioritizes national unity and dialogue (Achebe, 1983). This can be achieved through education, cultural exchange programs, and initiatives that foster greater understanding and empathy among different groups (Joseph, 1987). Additionally, the media must be encouraged to promote balanced reporting, avoiding sensationalism and stereotypes that fuel tensions (Elaigwu, 2005). Corruption has become an entrenched phenomenon in Nigeria's democratic system, perpetuating a culture of impunity that undermines the country's development. This resulted in inadequate infrastructure, poor healthcare, and a struggling education system. Furthermore, corruption has exacerbated social inequality, as those with connections and wealth exploit their positions to accumulate more power and resources (Elaigwu, 2005).

Applying Socrates' Ghost to Nigeria's Democratic System

Socrates' Ghost refers to the philosophical spirit of inquiry, critical thinking, and wisdom that Socrates embodied. It represents the idea of questioning assumptions, challenging the status quo, and seeking knowledge and understanding through dialogue and introspection.

Socrates' philosophical ideas, though ancient, remain remarkably relevant in contemporary Nigeria (Popper, 1945). Socrates' concerns about democracy and its Implications for Nigeria's current situation are list here as follows:

- Uninformed decision-making: Socrates worried that democratic decisions might be based on emotions rather than informed reasoning. Nigeria's democracy faces challenges related to voter education and awareness, which can lead to uninformed decisions at the polls.
- Tyranny of the majority: He feared that democracy could lead to the oppression of minority groups by the majority. Ethnic and religious divisions in Nigeria can lead to the marginalization of minority groups, echoing Socrates' concerns.
- Lack of expertise: Socrates believed that democratic leaders might lack the necessary expertise to make wise decisions. Nigeria's political leaders often face criticism for lacking the necessary expertise to effectively govern and address the country's complex challenges.

Socrates' philosophical ideas emphasis on critical thinking, accountability, and education can be applied to strengthen Nigeria's democratic system.

- Firstly, Socrates' method of questioning can be used to promote critical thinking among Nigerian citizens (Plato, 1968). By encouraging citizens to scrutinize political issues and challenge assumptions, Nigeria can move beyond emotional and biased decision-making (Agbese, 1992). This critical thinking can lead to more informed choices at the polls and a more engaged citizenry (Diamond, 1995).
- Secondly, Socratic accountability can be applied to Nigeria's leadership (Osaghae, 1998). By holding leaders accountable for their actions through rigorous questioning and scrutiny, Nigeria can reduce corruption and ensure that leaders serve the people's interests (Achebe, 1983).
- Thirdly, Socratic education can empower Nigerian citizens to make informed decisions (Nwosu, 2008). By incorporating critical thinking and civic education into the curriculum, Nigeria can foster a more informed and engaged citizenry (Okeke, 2017). Furthermore, Socratic dialogue can promote open discussion and debate in Nigeria (Bachrach, 2008). By encouraging citizens to engage in constructive discussions, Nigeria can build bridges across ethnic and religious divides (Elaigwu, 2005). Additionally, Socratic examination of assumptions can help Nigerians challenge biases and prejudices (Ake, 1996). By examining assumptions and biases, Nigerians can gain a more nuanced understanding of complex issues (Ikelegbe, 2006).
- Finally, Socratic merit-based leadership can help Nigeria move beyond ethnic and religious affiliations (Joseph, 1987). By emphasizing merit-based leadership, Nigeria can ensure that leaders are chosen based on their abilities, not their affiliations (Agbaje, 2013).

Socrates' concerns about uninformed decision-making are profoundly relevant to Nigeria's electoral process. The absence of critical thinking and informed debate among Nigerian voters can have far-reaching consequences, perpetuating cycles of corruption, mismanagement, and social injustice. One of the primary concerns is the lack of critical thinking among Nigerian voters. Many make decisions based on emotions, ethnicity, or religious affiliations rather than critically evaluating candidates' policies and qualifications (Agbese, 1992). This lack of critical thinking can lead to the election of unqualified candidates, who may prioritize personal interests over the public good.

Furthermore, limited access to information is a significant challenge in Nigeria's electoral process. Voters often lack access to accurate information about candidates, parties, and policies, leading to uninformed decisions (Diamond, 1995). This information deficit can be exploited by political elites, who may use propaganda and misinformation to sway voters' decisions (Achebe, 1983). Additionally, ethnic and religious divisions can lead to voting along ethnic or religious lines, rather than based on candidates' merits (Osaghae, 1998). This can result in the election of candidates who may not be the most qualified or competent, but rather those who belong to the dominant ethnic or religious group. Voter apathy is another challenge in Nigeria's electoral process. Low voter turnout and apathy can result from disillusionment with the electoral process and lack of informed engagement (Elaigwu, 2005). This can lead to a lack of accountability among elected officials, who may not feel obligated to serve the interests of their constituents.

Nigeria's democracy faces numerous challenges, including corruption, electoral malpractices, and institutional weaknesses. To address these challenges, Nigerians can draw on the wisdom of philosophy. Philosophy offers critical thinking, ethical frameworks, political ideas, epistemological insights, and social perspectives that can inform and shape democratic practices. Critical thinking, a hallmark of philosophical inquiry, can help Nigerians analyze and evaluate information, arguments, and policies. By promoting informed decision-making and critical engagement, philosophy can enhance the democratic process.

Philosophical ethics provides a moral framework for governance and civic engagement. By examining concepts like justice, equality, and freedom, Nigerians can develop a shared understanding of the values that should guide their democracy. Political philosophy offers insights into institutional design, policy-making, and social justice. Philosophical ideas about the nature of power, authority, and citizenship can inform debates around constitutional reform, electoral systems, and human rights. Epistemology, the study of knowledge and truth, can help address misinformation, disinformation, and fake news. By promoting fact-based discourse and informed citizenship, philosophy can enhance the quality of public debate. Social philosophy examines identity, community, and social relations. By exploring these themes, Nigerians can develop strategies for building inclusive, equitable, and just societies.

Reclaiming Nigeria from the Bimodal Triangle: Addressing Democracy and Finding Freedom

Nigeria, a nation blessed with vast resources and potential, has been held hostage by the bimodal triangle of corruption, impunity, and accountability. This toxic triangle has undermined democracy, stifled development, and enslaved citizens. To reclaim Nigeria and find freedom, it is imperative to address this triangle and its far-reaching consequences.

- Corruption, the first vertex of the triangle, has become a cancer eating away at Nigeria's fabric. According to Transparency International's 2020 Corruption Perceptions Index, Nigeria ranks 149th out of 180 countries, indicating a high level of corruption (Transparency International, 2020).
- Impunity, the second vertex, allows corrupt individuals to act with reckless abandon, knowing they will face no consequences. A 2020 report by the Nigerian Civil Society Situation Room notes that impunity remains a significant challenge in Nigeria, with many cases of corruption and human rights abuses going unpunished (Nigerian Civil Society Situation Room, 2020).
- Accountability, the third vertex, is the missing link, as citizens and institutions fail to demand transparency and justice.

To break this triangle, Nigerians must demand accountability from leaders and institutions. This requires a cultural shift, where citizens prioritize transparency, ethics, and justice. Institutions must be strengthened to promote accountability, and leaders must be held responsible for their actions. A 2019 report by the African Development Bank notes that strengthening institutions and promoting accountability are critical for Nigeria's development (African Development Bank, 2019). Thus, from the foregoing intellectual articulations, it could be deduced that Socrates' ideas serve as a cautionary tale for democracies like Nigeria, highlighting the importance of:

- Civic education and critical thinking
- Protecting minority rights and promoting inclusivity
- Encouraging expertise and merit-based leadership

By reflecting on Socrates' ideas, Nigerians can gain valuable insights into the challenges facing their democracy and develop a deeper understanding of the importance of critical thinking, civic engagement, and accountability in building a robust democratic system. Socrates' emphasis on questioning assumptions and seeking knowledge can encourage Nigerians to critically evaluate their political leaders and institutions, promoting a culture of transparency and accountability. Additionally, Socrates' belief in the importance of protecting minority rights and promoting inclusivity can inspire Nigerians to work towards a more equitable and just society, where all citizens have a voice and are treated with dignity and respect. Ultimately, by embracing Socratic values, Nigerians can build a more informed, inclusive, and effective democratic system that truly serves the needs of all its citizens.

Conclusion

Nigeria's democracy faces a complex web of challenges, including corruption, electoral malpractices, and institutional weaknesses. However, by applying philosophical ideas and methods, Nigerians can develop innovative solutions to these problems. Critical thinking can promote informed decision-making, ethical frameworks can guide governance and civic engagement, political philosophy can inform institutional design and policy-making, epistemology can address misinformation, and social philosophy can build inclusive and just societies. Addressing the bimodal triangle of corruption, impunity, and accountability is crucial to strengthening Nigeria's democracy. By tackling these interconnected challenges, Nigerians can create a more just, equitable, and prosperous society. Ultimately, the future of Nigeria's democracy depends on the ability of its citizens to think critically, act ethically, and demand accountability from their leaders. By embracing philosophical inquiry and promoting a culture of transparency and accountability, Nigerians can build a brighter future for themselves and generations to come. Conclusively, Nigeria's freedom and democracy are within reach. By confronting the bimodal triangle and its consequences, Nigerians can reclaim their nation and build a brighter future.

References

Achebe, C. (1983). *The trouble with Nigeria.* Heinemann

Adebayo, A. (2018). Corruption and democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of African Studies,* 30(1), 1-15.

Agbaje, A. A. (2013). Nigeria's democratic experiment: A critical analysis. *Journal of Political Science.* 30(1), 1-15.

Agbaje, A. (2017). Electoral malpractices and democratic consolidation in Nigeria. *Journal of Democracy and Development*, 18(2), 1-20.

Agbaje, A. A., &Oyewole, O. O. (2022). Assessing the impact of corruption on democracy in Nigeria. *Journal of Political Science and International Relations,* 15(2), 1-12.

Agbese, P. O. (1992). The significance of Socratic method in political inquiry. *Journal of Political Science*, 20(1), 1-15.

Diamond, L. (1995). Nigeria: The unfinished democracy. *Journal of Democracy*, 6(2), 69-83.

Elaigwu, J. I. (2005). Nigeria's democratic experience: Challenges and prospects. *Journal of Contemporary African Studies*, 23(1), 35-50.

Ikelegbe, A. (2006). The economy of Nigeria. *Journal of African Economies*, 15(3), 359-385.

Joseph, R. A. (1987). Democracy and prebendal politics in Nigeria. *Comparative Politics,* 9(3), 253-272.

Nigerian Civil Society situation room. (2020). *2020 General Elections Situation Room Report.* Abuja, Nigeria: Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre.

Nwosu, N. (2008). Civic education and voter participation in Nigeria. *Journal of Social Sciences,* 17(2), 147-156.

Obi, C. (2019). Institutional Weaknesses and Democratic Governance in Nigeria. *Journal of African Governance,* (1), 1-18.

Okeke, C. (2017). Environmental degradation and sustainable development in Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Policy,* 5(1), 1-9.

Okoro, O. E., &Okoro, A. I. (2022). Corruption and economic growth in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Development,* 24(1), 1-15.

Onwudiwe, E. O., & Okoro, A. I. (2022). Nigeria's democratic experience: Challenges and prospects. *Journal of African Studies and Development*, 14(1), 1-18.

Osaghae, E. E. (1998). *Crippled giant: Nigeria since independence.* Indiana University Press.

Osaghae, E. (2020). Accountability and transparency in Nigeria's democracy. *Journal of African Studies,* 32(1), 1-12.

Plato. (1968). *The Republic* (A. Bloom, Trans.). Basic Books.

Popper, K. R. (1945). *The open society and its enemies.* Routledge.