Journal of Educational Foundations and Development
Vol.13, No.1, pp. 104 - 117, June 2025
ISSN 2476 – 8375 www.jefad.org.ng

The Student Loan Paradox: 'Educational Empowerment' or 'Debt Sentence'? - A Philosophical Analysis of President Bola Ahmed Tinubu's Policy Initiative

Benson Peter IRABOR Ph.D.
Part-time lecturer, St Augustine college of Education, Akoka, Lagos
+2348034624353

Email: benpet1@yahoo.com

&

Prof. Ngozi E. UZOKA
Department of Educational Management
University of Lagos
Email: nuzoka@unilag.edu.ng

&

Adewale Gbeminiyi GIWA, Ph.D
Department of Educational Management
University of Lagos
Email: smallgiwa@gmail.com

Abstract

Education is a cornerstone of human and national development, driving economic growth, social mobility, and individual advancement. However, financial barriers often limit access to higher education in Nigeria. To address this, the government under President Bola Ahmed Tinubu enacted the Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) Act No. 12 of 2024, establishing the Nigeria Education Loan Fund (NELFUND) to support tuition payments for eligible students. While the policy aims to promote educational empowerment, concerns persist about its potential to exacerbate debt burdens, particularly amid high graduate unemployment. This paper critically examines the philosophical foundations and practical implications of the loan policy, questioning whether it fosters genuine empowerment or imposes economic strain on beneficiaries. Through a five-point critique, the paper evaluates the policy's accessibility, equity, repayment structure, and administrative efficiency, highlighting challenges such as restrictive eligibility, interest accrual, opaque funding sources, and bureaucratic bottlenecks. It also assesses the policy's attention to marginalised groups, its alignment with social justice principles, and its contribution to sustainable development. Employing a critical and hermeneutic approach, the paper interrogates the policy's assumptions, power relations, and potential impacts. The paper concludes that while the initiative holds promise, its effectiveness could be undermined by systemic inequities and administrative inefficiencies. Recommendations include designing a more inclusive financing framework with simplified application processes, transparent governance, and flexible repayment terms.

Keywords: Student loans, NELFUND, Higher education financing, Debt burden, Educational equity, Graduate unemployment

Introduction

Education is the bedrock of national and human development, empowering citizens to navigate their social and natural environments while competing for survival (Azenabor, 2005, p. 12). The strength of a nation is intricately linked with the quality and quantity of its human resources. Education serves as the pivot for development and capacity building, enabling individuals to acquire the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to participate fully in society and contribute to its development (Kneller, 1964, p. 23). Through the deliberate transmission of cultural heritage, educational institutions play a vital role in shaping individuals and societies. By providing a platform for the exchange of ideas, values, and beliefs, these institutions enable individuals to develop their faculties, live efficiently, and contribute to societal development (Federal Republic of Nigeria, 2013, p. 15).

The centrality of education to national development is strongly affirmed by the philosophy of Reconstructionism, which holds that society is in a constant state of change and that education serves as the primary vehicle for social transformation (Ozomon & Craver, 1990, p. 1528). This view is reinforced by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO), which recognises education as both a fundamental human right and a critical driver of sustainable development (UNESCO, 2015, p. 5). In line with these global and philosophical perspectives, the Nigerian government has acknowledged the transformative role of education by enacting the Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) Act No. 12 of 2024, also referred to as the Nigeria Education Loan Fund (NELFUND), to enhance access to higher education (National Assembly, 2024, p. 2).

The NELFUND initiative aims to provide a more robust and sustainable solution than its predecessor, the Student Loan Act of 2023, which struggled with governance and management issues (Premium Times, 2023, p. 3). However, concerns persist regarding the scheme's accessibility, repayment structure, and long-term sustainability. Against this backdrop, the present study critically examines the NELFUND initiative, focusing on its potential implications for Nigerian students and the broader education sector. The study identifies four primary concerns that must be addressed for the policy to achieve its intended goals.

First, while the removal of family income thresholds marks progress, other eligibility criteria may still restrict access, particularly for students from disadvantaged backgrounds, underserved regions, or those facing systemic barriers. Additionally, the application process risks being cumbersome and discouraging due to bureaucratic inefficiencies.

Second, Nigeria's high graduate unemployment rates and broader economic instability raise questions about beneficiaries' ability to repay the loans. Without flexible and realistic repayment terms, including grace periods and interest rates, the scheme may impose a "debt sentence" on graduates, undermining its intended empowerment function.

Third, the sustainability of the NELFUND initiative remains uncertain. Its reliance on legislative appropriations and potential investment income may not provide the consistent, long-term funding needed to support the growing number of applicants. Effective implementation of education financing policies demands strategic planning and resource stability.

Fourth, there is a need to assess whether the initiative promotes genuine equity in access to higher education. Marginalized groups—such as students with disabilities, those from low-income households, or residents in remote areas—may face compounded disadvantages. If not carefully designed and implemented, the policy risks reinforcing existing socio-economic inequalities.

These concerns underscore the complexity of student loan financing in Nigeria and highlight the need for a nuanced, equity-driven evaluation of the NELFUND initiative's long-term impact on students and the education sector. To gain a deeper understanding of the issues surrounding student loans in Nigeria, this paper is organised under the following subheadings:

1. The Concept of Education and its Nexus with National Development.
2. Accessing Students' Loans: Guide and Philosophical Critique.
3. The Ethics of Students Loans: Justice, Equality and Human Right in Higher Education
4. Philosophical Critique of the Student Loan Act 2024
5. Evaluation of the Student Loan Act 2024: Balancing Empowerment and Debt Sentence

The Concept of Education and its Nexus with National Development

The concept of student loans is intricately linked to education, as it provides financial assistance to students to pursue their academic endeavours. Since student loans are grounded in education, it is important to clarify the concept of education. The word "education" originates from the Latin term *educare*, meaning "to make, mould, or bring out" (Oxford Dictionary, 2020). Despite its universal relevance, education lacks a single, universally accepted definition, as various interpretations have emerged from different philosophical and cultural perspectives.

According to Akinkuotu (2010), "education as a universal concept has a different meaning from place to place and from time to time" (p. 12). This dynamic nature is reflected in Kneller's (1964) distinction between the broad and narrow or technical senses of education. In the broad sense, education includes any act or experience that produces a formative effect on an individual's mind, behaviour, or character (Kneller, 1964, pp. 23–25). This formative impact is echoed by Whitehead (1929), who describes education as "the acquisition of the art of the utilization of knowledge" (p. 10).

Building on this view, Azenabor (2005) defines education as "a continuous reconstruction of experience and the adjustment of the individual to the society, to nature, to his fellow human being" (p. 15), highlighting its adaptive and transformative nature. Ukeje (2015) also stresses the societal role of education, describing it as "an investment by the society to make itself a better place to live in and make a living" (p. 20). These perspectives underscore education's role in societal improvement and transformation.

Iroegbu (2005) synthesises these various definitions by identifying three core dimensions of education: information, formation, and reformation (p. 221). Education is informative, as it involves the communication of ideas and facts; formative, as it shapes cognitive and personality structures; and reformative, as it allows for the adjustment of previously held behaviours or patterns.

Beyond the individual, education is essential for national development. It provides the knowledge, skills, and values required for societal progress (Iroegbu, 1994, p. 81). Iroegbu (1994) further describes development as the unwrapping of inner potentialities, encompassing human, social, economic, environmental, and sustainable development. Similarly, Omoregbe (1990) defines national development as a nation's ability to ensure high literacy, economic strength, and the availability of material goods that enhance national security and collective wellbeing (p. 194).

Udoidem (2002) sees national development as a gradual, patriotic process of improving various aspects of human life (p. 102).

The student loan program introduced by President Bola Ahmed Tinubu aims to empower Nigerian students to become active contributors to national development. Education fosters social mobility, reduces inequality, and promotes economic growth, social justice, and cultural awareness (McGrath, 2014, p. 4). By investing in education and implementing equitable student loan schemes, Nigeria can unlock its full potential and achieve sustainable development. Student loans, when effectively managed, serve as a pathway to quality education and national advancement.

Accessing Student Loans: Insights, Guide, and Philosophical Critique

President Tinubu's Students Loan Initiative, embodied in the *Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024*, represents a significant advancement in promoting equitable access to higher education in Nigeria. Building on the initial 2023 Act signed into law on June 12, 2023, the revised legislation was passed by the Senate and House of Representatives on March 20, 2024, and signed into law by the President on April 3, 2024. The 2024 Act outlines the programme's framework, objectives, scope, and administrative structure through the Nigeria Education Loan Fund (NELFUND), aiming to provide financial assistance to qualified students—particularly those from low-income backgrounds—thereby enabling them to pursue academic goals without financial constraints. This section provides a comprehensive overview of the Act, covering critical elements such as eligibility, ineligibility, the application process, and repayment provisions. Notably, the revised Act offers clearer guidelines on loan repayment, forgiveness, and NELFUND's role, while emphasizing transparency, accountability, and efficiency in program management. By targeting socio-economically disadvantaged students, the initiative seeks to foster social mobility and reduce income inequality. Understanding the provisions and broader implications of this legislation is essential for evaluating its potential impact on students, educational institutions, and national development.

(a) Eligibility of the Student Loan

The updated eligibility criteria for student loans in Nigeria, as outlined in the *Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024*, represent a significant step toward expanding access to higher education. To qualify for the loan, applicants must meet several conditions.

First, applicants must be Nigerian citizens (Section 1), ensuring the scheme is dedicated to national development by benefiting the country's own citizens. This aligns with the Act's overall goal of enhancing access to higher education for Nigerians (Section 3).

Second, applicants must have secured admission into a higher education institution established by either the federal or state government, such as a university, polytechnic, or college of education (Section 1). This criterion promotes enrolment in public institutions, which play a vital role in building the nation's human capital.

Third, admission into a vocational or skills acquisition institution licensed by the federal government also qualifies a student for the loan (Section 1). This provision reflects the Act's recognition of vocational training as a key contributor to economic growth by equipping individuals with practical, employable skills.

In addition, the Act mandates national spread and inclusiveness in loan disbursement (Section 3), helping to ensure that students from diverse regions and backgrounds can benefit. This promotes equity and fairness in access to education.

Moreover, the Board of Directors is empowered to regulate the application process and define loan eligibility requirements for each financial year (Section 4). This flexibility allows the scheme to adapt to changing circumstances and emerging challenges, enhancing its responsiveness and efficiency.

Overall, the revised eligibility criteria support increased access to higher education, promote vocational and technical training, and contribute to Nigeria's broader goals of human capital development and economic advancement.

(b) Ineligibility Criteria for Student Loans

The Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, specifies that beneficiaries of previous government loans or scholarship schemes are ineligible for the student loan (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024). This criterion ensures that the loan program is targeted towards students who have not previously received government support. This provision has several implications:

1. Promoting fairness and equity: By restricting beneficiaries of previous government loans or scholarship schemes, the Act promotes fairness and equity in the allocation of limited resources. It ensures that students who have not previously benefited from government support have access to the loan programme.

2. Encouraging responsible utilization: The criterion encourages students to explore other funding options and promotes responsible utilization of government resources. Students who have previously benefited from government support are expected to utilize other funding sources for their education.

3. Reaching a broader range of students: By setting this criterion, the Act allows the loan program to reach a broader range of students, including those who may not have had previous access to government support. This promotes inclusivity and equity in access to higher education.

4. Transparency and accountability: The ineligibility criterion promotes transparency and accountability in the loan application process. Students are aware of the eligibility requirements, and the loan programme administrators can ensure that the program is utilized as intended.

Overall, the ineligibility criterion contributes to the sustainability and effectiveness of the loan programme. It ensures that the programme benefits students who need it most and promotes responsible utilization of government resources.

(a) Application Process for Student Loans

The Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, outlines a user-friendly application process for student loans. The process is efficient, transparent, and accessible to all eligible students. Here's an overview:

Step 1: Meeting Eligibility Criteria

Applicants must meet the eligibility criteria, including being a Nigerian citizen, having admission into a higher education institution, and meeting other specified criteria.

Step 2: Submitting Required Documents

Applicants submit required documents, such as proof of admission, identity proof, and academic records.

Step 3: Application Review

The loan application board reviews applications, verifies documents, and ensures applicants meet eligibility criteria.

Step 4: Loan Approval

Approved loan amounts are disbursed directly to the institution of the student, covering tuition fees, maintenance allowance, and other expenses.

The application process has several benefits, including efficient processing, transparent criteria, and accessibility. Good practices in student loan administration include a single application window, clear guidelines, and timely disbursement. By streamlining the application process, the Act ensures students can access the loan program easily and efficiently, enabling them to focus on their studies.

(b) Repayment of Student Loans

The Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, provides a comprehensive framework for the repayment of student loans. The repayment process is designed to be fair, manageable, and sustainable, ensuring that beneficiaries can repay their loans without undue hardship.

Loan Repayment Moratorium: The Act provides a loan repayment moratorium, which means that loan recovery efforts will not commence until two years after the completion of the National Youth Service Programme. This allows beneficiaries sufficient time to secure employment, settle into their careers, and establish a stable income stream before commencing loan repayment.

Request for Extension: Beneficiaries who are not employed or receiving income can request an extension of enforcement action by providing a sworn affidavit. This provision acknowledges that beneficiaries may face varying circumstances and provides a mechanism for them to request temporary relief from loan repayment obligations.

Loan Forgiveness: The Act provides loan forgiveness provisions in the event of death or acts of God that render the beneficiary unable to repay the loan. This provision offers protection to beneficiaries and their families in unforeseen circumstances, ensuring that they are not burdened with loan repayment obligations in times of crisis.

Penalties for False Statements: To maintain the integrity of the loan program, the Act imposes penalties for false statements. Beneficiaries who provide false information to the Fund are guilty of a felony and liable to imprisonment for three years. This provision ensures that beneficiaries are truthful and transparent in their dealings with the Fund.

The repayment process outlined in the Act offers several benefits, including:

1. Fair and manageable repayment terms.
2. Protection for beneficiaries in unforeseen circumstances.
3. Incentives for truthful and transparent dealings with the Fund.
4. Sustainable loan program that supports future generations of students.

Overall, the repayment process outlined in the Act is designed to balance the need for loan repayment with the need to support beneficiaries in their careers and personal lives. By providing a fair, manageable, and sustainable repayment framework, the Act ensures that beneficiaries can repay their loans without undue hardship.

The Ethics of Student Loans: Justice, Equality, and Human Rights in Higher Education

The term "ethics" in the context of student loans refers to the moral principles and values that guide the development and implementation of student loan policies. From a philosophical perspective, the Students Loan Initiative raises important questions about justice, equality, and human rights. The initiative's eligibility criteria, ineligibility criteria, application process, and repayment may perpetuate existing inequalities and limit access to higher education for marginalized groups. This examination of the ethics of student loans aims to explore the philosophical underpinnings of justice, equality, and human rights in the context of student loans, examining the Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024. By drawing on the ideas of prominent philosophers, such as John Rawls, Aristotle, and Amartya Sen, we intend to analyze the Act's provisions and their implications for students, shedding light on the moral and philosophical dimensions of student loan policy.

In this context, ethics involves critically evaluating the student loan policy's impact on students' lives, dignity, and opportunities. It requires considering questions such as: What is just and fair in the distribution of educational resources? How can we ensure equal access to education for all? And what are the moral implications of student loan policies on human rights? By examining these questions through a philosophical lens, we aim to contribute to a deeper understanding of the ethics of student loans and inform policy debates about how to create a more just and equitable student loan system.

Justice: Justice is a fundamental concept in philosophy, ethics, and law, playing a crucial role in the context of student loans. According to John Rawls, justice involves providing equal access to resources and opportunities (Rawls, 1971, p. 14). The Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, aims to promote justice by ensuring that all students have equal access to financial assistance, regardless of their background or socioeconomic status. Martha Nussbaum adds that equality is not just about access to resources, but also about equal respect and dignity (Nussbaum, 2006, p. 23). In the context of student loans, justice entails treating all students with dignity and respect while ensuring they are not unfairly burdened with debt. As Olaniyi, Irabor, and Sulyman (2021, pp. 23–36) observe, justice is a foundational concept in both ethics and socio-political philosophy. Aristotle defined justice as treating equals equally and unequals unequally, according to their relevant differences (Jowett, 1952, Book VII, Ch. 14). Applied to student loans, this principle suggests that students from diverse backgrounds, abilities, and financial circumstances should be treated equitably. Iroegbu and Izibili (2004, p. 9) define justice more simply as fairness. In this context, fairness involves providing equal access to financial assistance and ensuring that no student is disproportionately burdened by loan repayment obligations. Wacks (2006, p. 58) further argues that justice is not only about punishing wrongdoing but also about promoting fairness, equality, and the protection of human rights. Thomas Aquinas offered a complementary view, describing justice as the firm and constant will to give each person their due, grounded in the fundamental equality of all people (Omoregbe, 1993, p. 112). The *Students Loans (Access to Higher Education)* Act, 2024, reflects this commitment to justice through provisions for loan forgiveness in cases of death or uncontrollable circumstances ("acts of God") (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024).

Equality: The concept of equality is central to the Students Loan Initiative. Amartya Sen's notion that equality is not just about equal access to resources, but also about equal freedom to pursue one's goals and aspirations (Sen, 1999, p. 17) is particularly relevant. The initiative's provisions, such as the loan repayment moratorium (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024), aim to promote equality by giving students sufficient time to secure employment and settle into their careers before commencing loan repayment.

By ensuring that students are treated fairly and equitably, the Act promotes equal access to financial assistance and educational opportunities. This aligns with the idea that all individuals should have equal opportunities to pursue their goals and aspirations, regardless of their background or socioeconomic status. The Act's emphasis on fairness and transparency in the application process and repayment terms (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024) also promotes equality. By providing clear guidelines and transparent procedures, the initiative ensures that all students are treated equally and without bias.

Moreover, the Act's provisions can help to reduce inequalities in access to higher education. By providing financial assistance to students from all backgrounds, the initiative can help to level the playing field and promote equal opportunities for all. Thus, the Students Loan Initiative's emphasis on equality is crucial for promoting fairness and justice in access to higher education. By ensuring that students are treated equally and have equal access to financial assistance and educational opportunities, the initiative can help to reduce inequalities and promote social mobility.

Human Rights: The Students Loan Initiative raises important questions about human rights, particularly in relation to access to education. The United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that "everyone has the right to education" (United Nations, 1948, Article 26). This fundamental human right is essential for the development of individuals and societies. In the context of the Students Loan Initiative, ensuring that students have access to financial assistance and educational opportunities is crucial for promoting human rights. The Act's provisions, such as the loan forgiveness provisions in the event of death or acts of God (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024), demonstrate a commitment to protecting the rights of students and promoting fairness and justice.

The Act's emphasis on fairness and transparency in both the application process and repayment terms (Section 4, Students Loans Act, 2024) reinforces the protection of human rights. By establishing clear guidelines and transparent procedures, the initiative ensures that students are treated with dignity and that their rights are respected.

Furthermore, the Act contributes to the realisation of the right to education by making higher education more accessible and affordable for students from diverse backgrounds. Through financial assistance, the initiative helps to reduce socio-economic barriers and promote equal educational opportunities.

Overall, the Students Loan Initiative's focus on human rights is essential for fostering fairness, justice, and dignity in access to higher education. By safeguarding students' rights, the initiative has the potential to advance a more just, inclusive, and equitable society.

Philosophical Critique of the Student Loan Act 2024

This section aims to provide a philosophical critique of the Student Loan Act 2024, analyzing its underlying principles and values. By comparing the Act's provisions to the 2023 Act, we seek to uncover potential flaws and inconsistencies that may impact the effectiveness and fairness of the student loan scheme. As noted by the Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre (PLAC) in 2024, there are 13 key areas that warrant critical examination, which we shall consider in this analysis to assess the Act's ability to promote social justice, equality, and access to education.

1. Eligibility criteria: The 2024 Act seems to be open to anyone, not just indigent students, which could lead to abuse and dilute the programme's intended purpose. By removing the income threshold and other eligibility criteria, the programme may become less targeted and less effective in supporting those who need it most. This could lead to a situation where students from wealthier backgrounds benefit from the programme, while those from poorer backgrounds are left out or struggle to access the loan. The programme's intention to promote social mobility and reduce inequality may be undermined if it is not targeted towards those who need it most.

2. Interest on loans: The 2024 Act implies that loans will not be interest-free, contrary to the 2023 Act, which could burden students with additional debt. Interest on loans can be a significant burden for students, particularly those from low-income backgrounds. The introduction of interest on loans may make it more difficult for students to repay their loans, leading to a higher default rate and increased financial stress. This could undermine the programme's intention to promote access to education and reduce poverty.

3. Removal of income threshold: The 2024 Act dispenses with the N500,000 annual income threshold, potentially allowing wealthier students to access loans meant for those in need. The income threshold was a key eligibility criterion that ensured the programme was targeted towards students from low-income backgrounds. By removing this threshold, the programme may become less effective in promoting social mobility and reducing inequality. Wealthier students may be able to access loans that they do not need, while students from poorer backgrounds may struggle to access the loan due to other eligibility criteria or lack of access to information.

4. Guarantors: The 2024 Act eliminates the requirement for two loan guarantors, which could increase the risk of default. Guarantors play a crucial role in ensuring that loans are repaid. By eliminating the requirement for guarantors, the programme may become more vulnerable to default. This could lead to a higher default rate, which would undermine the programme's sustainability and effectiveness.

5. Funding sources: The 2024 Act's reliance on National Assembly appropriation, investment incomes, and loan repayment with interest may not be sustainable or reliable. The programme's funding sources are critical to its success. The reliance on National Assembly appropriation may make the programme vulnerable to budgetary constraints and political instability. Investment incomes and loan repayment with interest may also be subject to market fluctuations and default rates. A more sustainable and reliable funding source may be needed to ensure the programme's long-term success.

6. Restrictive school coverage: The 2024 Act limits vocational school eligibility to those licensed by the Federal Government, potentially excluding students in state-licensed institutions. This restriction may limit access to the programme for students in state-licensed vocational schools, which could undermine the program's intention to promote access to education. State-licensed vocational schools may provide valuable training and education to students, and excluding them from the program may be counterproductive.

7. Potential abuse of repayment exemptions: The 2024 Act's exemptions for loan repayment in cases of hardship or equity may be prone to abuse if not properly managed. While exemptions may be necessary to support students who are facing financial difficulties, they may also be abused by students who are not genuinely in need. A clear and transparent process for determining exemptions may be needed to prevent abuse and ensure that the program is fair and effective.

8. Disqualification criteria: The 2024 Act's disqualification of beneficiaries of other federal scholarships or loan schemes may unfairly exclude deserving students. This disqualification criterion may be too broad and may exclude students who are genuinely in need of support. A more nuanced approach may be needed to ensure that students who are eligible for other forms of support are not unfairly excluded from the programme.

9. Administrative model: The shift from a Central Bank-managed fund to a board-managed fund may introduce new bureaucratic challenges. The administrative model is critical to the program's success. The shift to a board-managed fund may introduce new challenges, such as conflicts of interest, lack of expertise, and bureaucratic delays. A more effective administrative model may be needed to ensure the programme's success.

10. Lack of clarity on application process: The 2024 Act's flexible application process may lead to confusion or unequal treatment of applicants. A clear and transparent application process is essential to ensure that applicants are treated fairly and that the program is effective in achieving its objectives. The lack of clarity on the application process may lead to confusion, frustration, and unequal treatment of applicants.

11. Changes in repayment plan: The 2024 Act's provision for deductions not exceeding 10% of salary or profit may lead to inconsistent repayment amounts. A clear and consistent repayment plan is essential to ensure that students understand their obligations and can plan their finances accordingly. The flexibility in the repayment plan may lead to confusion and unequal treatment of beneficiaries.

12. Employer's burden: The 2024 Act's requirement for employers to verify student loan status and potential penalties for non-compliance may be an undue burden on employers. Employers may not have the necessary resources or infrastructure to verify student loan status, and the penalties for non-compliance may be too severe. This could lead to unintended consequences, such as employers being reluctant to hire beneficiaries of the student loan programme.

13. Self-employed persons' requirements: The 2024 Act's silence on specific requirements for self-employed persons may create uncertainty or unequal treatment compared to the 2023 Act's clear provisions. Self-employed persons may face unique challenges in repaying their loans, and the lack of clear provisions may lead to confusion and unequal treatment. A clear and specific framework for self-employed persons may be needed to ensure that they are treated fairly and can access the support they need (Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre (PLAC), 2024).

Evaluation of the Student Loan Act 2024: Balancing Educational Empowerment and Debt Sentence

The Student Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, has sparked intense debate about its potential impact on Nigerian students. The question on everyone's mind is: Is the Student Loan 'Educational Empowerment' or 'Debt Sentence'? Based on the provisions of the new Act, the answer lies somewhere in between. The Nigerian student loan program, launched under President Bola Ahmed Tinubu's administration, aims to bridge the educational gap by providing financial assistance to students from low-income backgrounds. One year after its inception, it is essential to evaluate its effectiveness and identify areas for improvement.

The Student Loan Act 2024 aims to increase access to higher education, bridging the gap for students from low-income backgrounds. By providing financial assistance to eligible students, the program seeks to empower them to pursue their academic goals, potentially leading to better job prospects and economic mobility. The Act’s provisions, such as the loan repayment moratorium and loan forgiveness in the event of death or acts of God, demonstrate a commitment to promoting educational empowerment.

However, concerns about the programme’s potential to create a cycle of debt cannot be ignored. Nigeria’s high graduate unemployment rates raise red flags about beneficiaries’ ability to repay loans, potentially trapping them in financial hardship (Agbelusi, 2023, p.65). The risk of debt accumulation could exacerbate income inequalities, undermining the program’s intended purpose. Moreover, the program’s sustainability is uncertain, with concerns about the potential risks to the government if not carefully managed.

To mitigate these risks, the Nigerian government must ensure a robust framework for loan repayment, possibly incorporating income-contingent loan repayment options. By striking a balance between access to education and loan repayment structures, Nigeria can promote educational empowerment while minimizing the risk of debt sentences for its students. The government must also prioritize effective implementation, monitoring, and evaluation to ensure the programme’s success.

The Student Loan Act 2024 has the potential to promote educational empowerment and drive national development. However, it is crucial to acknowledge the potential risks and challenges associated with the programme. By striking a balance between access to education and loan repayment structures, Nigeria can ensure that the programme benefits students while minimizing the risk of debt sentences. With careful planning, prudent management, and ongoing evaluation, the student loan programme can become a game-changer for Nigerian students, fostering a more educated and skilled workforce.

Conclusion and Recommendations

In conclusion, President Bola Ahmed Tinubu’s student loan initiative, as embodied in the Student Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, is a bold step towards promoting educational empowerment in Nigeria. As the programme continues to evolve, it's clear that it has the potential to be both an educational empowerment and a debt sentence. While it aims to increase access to higher education and promote social mobility, concerns about debt accumulation and repayment terms need to be addressed. Nevertheless, with careful planning, management, and oversight, this program can become a vital investment in Nigeria’s future, empowering countless students to pursue their dreams and contribute meaningfully to the nation's development.

The Act’s provisions, such as the loan repayment moratorium and loan forgiveness in the event of death or acts of God, demonstrate a commitment to promoting educational empowerment. However, to fully harness the potential of this initiative and ensure its sustainability, it is essential to build on existing knowledge and research. Studies like this one play a crucial role in providing a critical analysis of the philosophical underpinnings of student loan policies, contextualizing the scheme in Nigeria, and highlighting unique challenges and opportunities.

By adopting an interdisciplinary approach and considering the social justice and human rights implications of student loan policies, policymakers can create a more equitable and sustainable student loan system that benefits Nigerian students and promotes national development. Ultimately, with careful implementation, monitoring, and evaluation, the Student Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, can become a game-changer for Nigerian students, fostering a more educated and skilled workforce that drives national development and prosperity.

In light of the Student Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, the following recommendations are proposed to enhance the effectiveness of the student loan scheme:

1. Streamline the Application Process: Building on the Act's provisions, policymakers should further streamline the application process for student loans, leveraging technology to reduce bureaucratic inefficiencies and ensure that eligible students can access the scheme without undue delay.

2. Increase Transparency and Accountability: The government should establish a robust monitoring and evaluation framework to increase transparency and accountability in the management of the student loan scheme, ensuring that funds are allocated efficiently and effectively in line with the Act's objectives.

3. Implement a More Flexible Repayment Structure: Policymakers should consider introducing income-contingent loan repayment options, as hinted in the Act, to implement a more flexible repayment structure for student loans, taking into account the unique challenges faced by graduates in Nigeria's labor market.

4. Promote Financial Literacy and Education: The government should develop and implement financial literacy programs for students, as part of the loan package, to equip them with the knowledge and skills necessary to manage their finances effectively and make informed decisions about their loan obligations.

References

Akinkuotu, Y. (2010). The concept of education. *Journal of Education and Human Development,* 1(1), 12–20.

Akpenpuun, D. (2007). *Contemporary ethics: Theory and issues*. John Archer Publishers.

Amartya, S. (1999). *Development as freedom*. Oxford University Press.

Aristotle. (1952). *Politics* (B. Jowett, Trans.). In *Britannica great books* (Vol. 9, VII, 14). Encyclopaedia Britannica.

Azenabor, G. (2005). The role of education in national development. *Journal of Education and Human Development*, 4(1), 12–20.

Baum, S., & Schwartz, S. (2020). Student loans and college completion. *Journal of Student Financial Aid, 50*(2), 145–174.

Dynarski, S. (2019). The economics of student loans. In A. Culyer (Ed.), *The encyclopedia of health economics* (pp. 197–214).

Education International. (2020). *Financing education: A global perspective*.

Federal Ministry of Education. (2024). *Nigeria Education Loan Fund (NELFUND) guidelines*.

Federal Ministry of Education. (2024). *Students' loans (Access to Higher Education) Act, 2024: A policy brief*.
Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2013). *National policy on education*.
Iroegbu, P., & Izibili, M. (2004). *Kpim of democracy: Thematic introduction to socio-political philosophy*. Ever Blessed Publishers.
Iroegbu, P. (2005). Philosophy of education: Ethics of the teaching profession. In P. Iroegbu & A.
Echekube (Eds.), *Kpim of morality: General, special & professional* (pp. xx–xx). Heinemann Educational Books.
Kneller, G. (1964). *Introduction to the philosophy of education*. John Wiley & Sons.
Kokutse, F. (2022, June 16). Government waives guarantors to access student loans. *University World News African Edition*.
Mayowa, A. (2023). The Student Loans Act 2023: Impact on higher education funding in Nigeria. *EPR Journal*. Available at: file:///C:/Users/USER/Downloads/ajol-file-journals_306_articles_261300_6583ee08ac05a(3).pdf (Accessed April 29, 2025).
McGrath, S. (2014). The post-2015 debate and the place of education in development thinking. *International Journal of Educational Development, 39*, 4–11.
National Assembly. (2024). *Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) Act, 2024*.
National Association of Nigerian Students. (2022). *Report on the Students' Loan Scheme*.
National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Labour force statistics*.
National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Nigerian gross domestic product report*.
National Bureau of Statistics. (2022). *Nigeria's unemployment rate*.
NERDC. (2020). *Report on access to higher education in Nigeria*.
Nussbaum, M. (2006). *Frontiers of justice: Disability, nationality, species membership*. Harvard University Press.
Olaniyi, A. J., Irabor, B., & Sulyman, A. A. (2020–2021). Justice and national development: Lessons from the 2014 Sovereign National Conference (SNC). *Ilorin Journal of Health Promotion and Environmental Health Education, 6–7*, 23–36.
Olayiwola, A. (2023, August 24). NANS president seeks review of Student Loan Act. *The Punch*.
Omoregbe, J. (1990). *A philosophical approach to national development*. Joja Educational Research and Publishers.
Omoregbe, J. (1993). *Ethics: A systematic and historical study*. Joja Educational Research and Publishers.
Oxford University Press. (2020). *Education*. *Oxford Dictionary*.
Ozmon, H., & Craver, S. (1990). *Philosophical foundations of education* (4th ed.). Merrill Publishing Company.
Policy and Legal Advocacy Centre (PLAC). (2024). *Review of relevant information on Nigeria's democracy*. Available at: file://C:/Users/USER/Downloads/Students-Loans-Access-to-Higher-Education-Repeal-And-Re-Enactment-Act-2024(4).pdf (Accessed April 29, 2025).
Premium Times. (2023). Nigeria's student loan scheme: A critical analysis. *Premium Times,* 10(2), 1–5.
Rawls, J. (1971). *A theory of justice*. Harvard University Press.
Students Loans (Access to Higher Education) (Repeal and Re-Enactment) Act, 2024, § 4.
The Guardian. (2022). Students' loan scheme: A cumbersome process.

The Punch. (2022). Minimum wage: A far cry from the actual cost of living.
The Vanguard. (2022). Guarantor requirement: A major obstacle for students.
Tinubu, B. (2024). Speech at the signing of the Students' Loans (Access to Higher Education) Act, 2024.
Ukeje, B. (2015). Education and societal development. *Journal of Education and Human Development, 4*(2), 20–30.
Unegbe, B. (2002). Philosophy and national development. In I. Udoidem (Ed.), *Foundations of philosophy and logic*. African Heritage Research and Publications.
UNESCO. (2015). *Education for all 2000–2015: Achievements and challenges*.
United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.
Wacks, R. (2006). *Philosophy of law: A very short introduction*. Oxford University Press.
Whitehead, A. N. (1929). *The aims of education*. Macmillan.